

REMARKS

Claims 19-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Choquier, et al. (USPN 5,951,694). Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable over Choquier, et al. (USPN 5,951,694) in view of Hirasawa, et al. (USPN 5,655,079).

In response, the Applicant has amended the claims to clarify the invention. Specifically, independent Claim 19 and dependent Claims 20 and 22 have been amended to clarify that the invention is limited to a "group voice call." Support for this limitation is found in many places in the Applicant's specification as Applicant's invention is limited to technology relating to group voice calls. See e.g. Applicant's specification, page 4, line 24. Such a limitation is not described by the prior art references, either alone or in combination. For example, Choquier describes a method for a single personal computer to have independent traffic flows simultaneously and Hirasawa describes methods for content based technology. Neither of these references (either alone or in combination) anticipates Applicant's invention where Applicant's invention requires a "group voice call." As such, the rejection should be withdrawn.

Claims 1-18 are allowed. The Applicant appreciates the time that the Examiner spent to examine these claims and looks forward to the early allowance of Claims 1-27.

Respectfully submitted,

SEND CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Motorola, Inc.
Law Department
1303 E. Algonquin Road
Law Department
Schaumburg, IL 60196
Customer Number: 22917

By: _____

Indira Saladi
Attorney of Record
Reg. No.: 45,759

Telephone: (847) 576-6735
Fax No.: (847) 576-0721